EFFECTS OF LEGUME-BASED COMPOUNDED ORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH, NUTRITIVE VALUE AND BIOMASS YIELD OF AMARANTHUS HYBRIDUS (LINN.) IN UMUDIKE, NIGERIA

¹Nwajiobi, B., ²Nzegbule, E.C., ¹Mbakwe, R., ³Asawalam, D.O., ⁴Mejeha, R.O., ³Chukwu, G.O. and ²Ezenwa, L.I

¹Department of Forestry and Environmental Management, ²Department of Environmental Management and Toxicology, ³Department of Soil Sciencey, ⁴Department of Agricultural Economics, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, UmudikeAbia State, Nigeria

Corresponding Author's email: <u>benson.nwajiobi@gmail.com</u> Phone: 08033876002.

ABSTRACT

A field trial was conducted to evaluate potentials of locally manufactured organic fertilizers -compounded composts made from vegetal matter of six locally (Centrosemapubescens, available legumes Mucunabracteata, Calopogoniummucunoides, Lablab Mucunapruriens, purpureus, Stylosanthescapitata) respectively composted with rice husk, poultry waste and swine waste in the ratio 5:4:4:4 dry weigh - on Amaranthus crop production at Umudike, Southeast Nigeria. The growth media (topsoil mixed respectively with each of the 6 organic fertilizers produced, top soil mixed with NPK 15:15:15 fertilizer, and Topsoil only as Control) were the 8 treatments. A sample size of four Amaranthus plants made up 32 plants per block, and a total 96 plants in a Randomized Complete Block Design in 3 replications. The experiment was monitored for 9weeks after planting (WAP) with the plant's growth parameters measured weekly. Data collected on plant height (cm), girth (cm), number of leaves, biomass production and proximate analysis were subjected to statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) at P<0.05. The results show significant differences in the growth parameters and nutritive value of the Amaranthus raised in the compounded organic fertilizer treatments than the NPK and Control Highest values were recorded in L. treatments. purpureus manure treatment and C. pubescence manure treatment while the control treatment recorded the least values. The organic fertilizers enhanced Amaranthus growth, nutritive value and biomass production indicating that the use of legume-based compounded organic fertilizers have potentials for enhancing crop production, restoring soil and agroforest ecosystem of southeasthern Nigeria; and therefore should be recommended.

KEY WORDS: Organic fertilizers, legumes, plant growth, agricultural productivity.

INTRODUCTION

Amaranthus is one of the most important annual leaf vegetables in the tropics (Makinde *et al.*, 2010). The plant has been widely regarded as a stubborn weed or food for the poor in the past years especially in many

parts of Africa, but for the past one decade there has been a sharp rise in the demand and consumption of Amaranth. This can be attributed to increased awareness on the importance as a valuable source of food, medicine and income for small-scale farmers (Maundu et al., 1999). Compared to other leafy vegetables *Amaranthus* is reported to be remarkably rich in Vitamins A, C and other minerals which include iron, calcium, folate, and amino acids with high levels of sulphur (PROTA, 2004). However, high incidence of pest infestation and disease of Amaranthus in Nigeria, as well as poor soil fertility of especially southeastern Nigeria has adversely affected the cultivation of Amaranthus in the country (Odebunmi-Oshilanu. 1977: Kagali 2013). Viglasky et al. (2009) reported the potentials of Amarathus to playing an important role as a raw material source for industrial biofuel production as well as for environmental protection in this century. This is because Amaranth is a plant species with the C4 photosynthetic pathway. These plant species are distinguished by a significantly high DM yield potential and lower quality in comparison with plant species with the C3 photosynthetic pathway. Although numerous species are becoming an increasingly important resource for healthy food and fodder in many countries (Viglasky et al., 2009), the main constrain is the limited research that has been put in to enhance the production (Maundu et al., 1999). Makinde et al. (2010) noted that although the crop responds to organic manure, studies on effect of organic wastes, crop residues and integrated application of organic and inorganic fertilizers on performance of Amaranthus are scarce.

Legumes, members of the large family of plants known as *Leguminosae*, are known for their high crude protein content. Among other uses such as food for humans, animal feed including grazing livestock in animal husbandry, as ground cover for protection of soil from erosion and suppression of weeds, legumes are very useful in soil nutrient and fertility management (Nwosu *et al.*, 2011). Not only do they fix highly needed nitrogen to the soil through the activities of microorganisms inhabiting their root nodules, their organic matter is rich in mineral elements such that leguminous cover crops add significant organic matter to the soil through leaf litter fall (Nwosu*et al.*, 2011). Soils in which legumes

are either grown or incorporated have been reported for enhanced chemical, physical and biological properties (Rao and Mathuva, 2000; Nwosu et al., 2011; Ngome et al., 2011). These legumes are found commonly growing in the wild, largely because much of their uses are unknown (Karachi, 1997) and this may be why some species are reported as under threat of extinction (Chadburn, 2012). In the light of the growing usefulness of Amaranthus, research efforts to enhancing its productionand quality are crucial. This research work is a field trial to evaluate the effects of locally manufactured legume-based compounded organic fertilizers on the growth, nutritive value and biomass yield of Amaranthushybridus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area: The experiment was carried out at the Nursery Unit of the Department of Forestry and Environmental Management (FOREM), Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike (MOUAU), Abia State. Umudike is situated within 50 30'N and 70 31'E on the lowland rain forest of Nigeria, at an elevation of 122 meters above sea level (Nwosu et al., 2011). Annual rainfall ranges from 1800mm to 2200mm with the intensity reaching up to 100mmhr-1. Temperature is high throughout the year with a range of 330C -350C as the maximum and 280C to 290C (Nwosu et al., 2011), while relative humidity varies from 51% to 87%. The soil is acid sandy loam in the ultisol group (Omenihu et al., 2011).

Seeds: 50g of Amaranthus seeds were purchased from the grocery of the College of Crop and Soil Sciences, MOUAU.

Fertilizers: Fertilizers used for the study were NPK 15:15:15 and six different legumes-based organic fertilizers produced from composting vegetal matter of each of the six legumes (Centrosemapubescens, Mucunabracteata, Calopogoniummucunoides, Mucunapruriens, Lablab purpureus, Stylosanthescapitata)respectively with rice husk, poultry manure and swine dung in the ratio 5:4:4:4. Mineral element compositions of the organic fertilizers were determined ab initioat the laboratory of National Root Crop Research Institute (NRCRI), Umudike(Table

Top soil and Germination: Top soils were collected from a uncultivated farm land besides the Nurserv Unit of FOREM, bulked, properly mixed and sieved to remove large particles, roots and debris. The composite soil sample was analyzed for physico-chemical properties (Table 3) at the Soil Laboratory, NRCRI, Umudike, using standard methods of soil analysis described by Udo et al. 2001). Amaranthus seeds were broadcasted and germinated in germination boxes.

Experimental Layout: Ten grams (10g) of the soil was also collected into a total of ninety eight (98) poly pots measuring 35cm × 30cm and arranged in a Randomized Complete Block Design in three replications (Plate 1). The soils in the poly pots were then treated with appropriate fertilizer (10t/ha of the respective organic fertilizers, 800kg/ha NPK 15:15:15 and a Control No fertilizer treatment) (Table 1). Uniformly growing vigorous *Amaranthus* seedlingswere carefully transplanted intopoly pots containing appropriate growth media one plant per pot. Watering was once daily while weeding was done manually with hoe.




Plate 1: Experimental plot/layout

Table 1: Experimental treatments

Treatments	Description of treatment
1	20 t/ha C. Pubescens compost mixture
2	20 t/ha M. bracteata compost mixture
3	20 t/ha C. mucunoides " "
4	20 t/ha M. pruriens compost "
5	20 t/ha L. purpuriens " "
6	20 t/ha S. capitate " "
7	1,600kg/ha NPK 15:15 fertilizer.
8	Control

Table 2.Mineral composition of the legume-based compounded organic fertilizers (g/Kg). Legumes compost

	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Na	Org C	Org M
C. pubescens	29.40	9.99	7.75	32.10	10.34	3.25	306.0	527.5
M. bracteata	32.20	11.70	4.25	40.20	17.60	1.75	306.0	528.0
C. mucunoides	30.80	10.30	8.00	38.20	10.94	3.50	347.7	590.9
M. pruriens	23.10	8.81	7.00	24.10	12.20	2.76	265.2	457.2
L. purpureus	33.60	11.20	4.50	30.10	12.20	4.00	289.7	499.4
S. capitata	28.70	10.50	4.00	28.10	11.60	3.25	240.7	415.0

Table 3: Physico-chemical properties of the soil used for the experiment

Parameters	Value
рН	5.40
Organic Carbon (OC) %	1.88
Total Nitrogen (TN) %	0.16
Organic Matter (OM) %	1.84
Calcium (Ca) cmolkg-1	2.60
Magnesium (Mg) cmolkg-1	1.00
Potassium (K) cmolkg-1	0.08
Sodium (Na) cmolkg-1	0.23
Phosphorus (P) mgkg-1	16.80
Sand %	46.40
Clay %	34.20
Silt %	19.40
Texture	Sand Clay

Proximate Analysis: The plant samples were also analyzed for moisture, protein, crude fibre, ash, fats, carbohydrates and energy content at NRCRI, Umudike. Data Collection: Data on growth parameters such as height, collar diameter, and leaf area were collected weekly. Plants heights (cm) were measured using measuring tape from soil level in the poly pot to the apex of the plant. Collar diameter (cm) were determined using veneer caliper at the collar region. Leaf areas (cm²) were determined by tracing leaf outline on graph sheet and counting the number of squares. Plants biomass production was determined at 7 weeks after planting (WAP). Two randomly selected seedlings were uprooted from each treatment. Soils were washed of the roots and the samples oven-dried at 70°C weighing and reweighing until a constant weight was achieved. Proximate

Data Analysis: Data collected were analyzed using Analyses of Variance (ANOVA) at P<0.05. Significant differences were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at P<0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of Fertilizers on Height Growth of *Amaranthus* (Cm)

The plant height varied significantly between treatments in the various weeks (Table 4). At 4WAP, *Amaranthus* treated with *L. purpureus*fertilizer recorded highest height (14.95±0.26) while the control (10.16±0.52) had the least plant height. Height of *Amaranthus* treated with *C. pubescence*, *M. bracteata*, *C. mucunoides*, *M. pruriens* and *L. purpureus*fertilizers were not significantly different, but were significantly higher than heights of *Amaranthus*treated with NPK 15:15:15 and the control from 4 WAP. The findings indicated that the

organic fertilizers enhanced the plant's growth better than the NPK 15:15:15 and control. This is likely due to better nutrient supply to the plants from the applied compounded organic fertilizers. The result support reports that application of manure enhanced the performance of *Amaranthus* (Okokoh and Bisong, 2011; Mshelia and Degri, 2014), and that organic fertilizers

supply the essential plant nutrients that enhances growth, development and optimum productivity of crops (Basso and Ritchie, 2005; Akanbi *et al.*, 2010; Quattara *et al.*, 2008; Chukwuka *et al.*, 2014). The findings also support report of a rich nutrient composition of legume composts (Adamu *et al.*, 2014).

Table 4. Effect of Fertilizers on Height of Amaranthus (Cm).

TREATMENTS	4WAP	5WAP	6WAP	7WAP	8WAP	9WAP
T1 (C. pubescens)	13.55±0.54bc	30.28±2.24b	47.38±1.29c	60.67±2.40c	62.67±2.40bc	69.21±3.45c
T2 (M. bracteata)	13.37±0.87bc	$27.32\pm2.98b$	44.55±1.79c	53.33±4.64c	58.67±5.96bc	60.38±5.23bc
T3 (C. mucunoides)	13.75±1.52bc	$28.00\pm2.18b$	46.82±i.93c	57.00±1.04c	57.83±0.33bc	63.88±2.10bc
T4 (M. pruriens)	12.86±1.11abc	$31.72\pm2.87b$	43.47±3.81bc	53.17±6.80bc	52.17±6.07bc	63.67±8.02bc
T5 (L. purpureus)	$14.95 \pm 0.26c$	28.28±3.37b	50.52 ± 1.54 bc	$62.33\pm2.60c$	66.63±225c	72.03±8.7c
T6 (S. capitata)	12.37±1.20abc	25.33±2.81ab	43.43±0.42bc	51.17±6.30bc	51.95±1.02bc	58.52±2.03bc
T7 (NPK 15:15:15)	$11.35 \pm 0.35 ab$	24.87±1.45ab	35.33±1.45b	43.33±2.42ab	48.13±1.35b	49.33±1.74ab
T8 (Control)	.10.16±0.52a	18.72±1.14a	23.70±5.42a	32.33±2.38a	34.90±0.bca	38.78.±1.59a

abcde Means \pm SE with similar superscripts in the same column are not significantly different (P > 0.05)

Effect of the Fertilizers on Number of Leaves of Amaranthus

There was significant difference in the number of leaves of *Amaranthus* with treatments (Table 5). At 4 WAP *L. purpureus and C. Pubescens* organic fertilizer treatments had the highest number of leaves with a mean value of 13.67. The Control had significantly least number of leaves (7.17±1.17) than all the compounded organic fertilizers and the NPK treatments. At 9 WAP *L. Purpureus organic* fertilizer treatment had the highest number of leaves (32.17±2.02), followed by *C. Pubescens* (29.33±2.33) and *C. mucunoides* (28.83±0.17) fertilizer treatments; and they allwere significantly different from *S. Capitata* (23.33±0.88),

NPK (21.83±0.60) and the Control (18.50±1.15) treatments. Higher nutrient supply to the plants by the organic fertilizers may have provided a balance of the uptake of essential nutrients, facilitating plant metabolisms, growth and leaf production in the organic fertilizers treatments. The findings support that manures enhance performance of *Amaranthus* (Okokoh and Bisong, 2011; Mshelia and Degri, 2014). Mshelia and Degri (2014) reported significant increase in leaf width, leaf length and mean number of leaves per plant by application of poultry manure on *Amaranthus*. It also supported report that the nutrient composition of legume composts is rich (Adamu *et al.*, 2014).

 Table 5. Effect of the Fertilizers on Number of Leaves of Amaranthus

 abcd Means \pm SE with similar superscripts in the same column are not significantly different (P > 0.05)

TREATMENTS	4WAP	5WAP	6WAP	7WAP	8WAP	9WAP
T1 (C. pubescens)	13.67±0.17b	17.66±1.42b	21.83±1.01b	24.50±0.58bc	25.00±0.76c	29.33±2.33d
T2 (M. bracteata)	13.00±0.50b	$17.00\pm0.76b$	21.33±1.69b	23.50±0.76bc	23.50±0.76bc	28.00±1.00cd
T3 (C. mucunoides)	13.00±1.15b	$17.33 \pm 0.73b$	21.83±0.83b	24.00±0.76bc	24.00±0.76bc	28.83±0.17d
T4 (M. pruriens)	12.50±1.00b	16.33±1.45b	21.17±1.59b	23.00±3.00bc	$25.67 \pm 0.33c$	27.83±0.16cd
T5 (L. purpureus)	13.67±1.09b	18.67 ± 0.88	22.23±0.63b	26.50±1.26c	26.50±1.26c	32.17±2.02d
T6 (S. capitata)	12.17±0.44b	$16.50\pm0.50b$	$20.67 \pm 1.04b$	$20.67\pm2.72ab$	21.33±2.33bc	23.33±0.88bc
T7 (NPK 15:15:15)	10.83±1.09b	15.67±0.93	18.33±0.45ab	18.50±0.28ab	43.17±3.49ab	21.83±0.60ab
T8 (Control)	7.17±1.17a	11.00±0.59a	$14.83\pm2.09a$	16.83±0.67a	40.33±5.36a	18.50±1.15a

Effect of Fertilizers on Girth of Amaranthus (cm)

Table 6 shows that *Amaranthus* girth also varied significantly with the various fertilizer treatments. At 4WAP, the plant girth was highest (1.60 ± 0.26) in the *C. Pubescens* organic fertilizer treatment, followed by the *L. purpureus* fertilizer treatment (1.58 ± 0.23) , while least

girth value was recorded in the Control (0.48 ± 0.06). At 9WAP, *Amaranthus* treated with *L. purpureus* organic fertilizer had the highest girth (5.60 ± 0.19), followed by *C. Pubescens* organic fertilizer treatment (5.00 ± 0.43). The least girth was recorded in the Control (3.48 ± 0.20) though it was not significantly different from girth value

of the NPK fertilizer treatment. The higher girth values in the organic manure treatments could be due to higher nutrient supply to the plants by the organic fertilizers. The findings supported reports that manures enhance the growth performance of plants by facilitating the plant

metabolisms (Okokoh and Bisong; 2011; Mshelia and Degri, 2014; Akanbi *et al.*, 2010; Quattara*et al.*, 2008). It also supports Adamu *et al.* (2014) who reported a rich nutrient composition of legume composts.

Table 6. Effect of Fertilizers on Girth of Amaranthus (cm).

TREATMENTS	4WAP	5WAP	6WAP	7WAP	8WAP	9WAP
T1 (C. pubescens)	1.60±0.26b	3.05±0.15b	4.13±0.26de	4.45±0.20cd	4.90±0.17cd	5.00±0.43bc
T2 (M. bracteata)	$1.41 \pm .0.09b$	$3.18\pm0.18b$	4.02 ± 0.22 cde	4.23 ± 0.20 bc	$4.45 \pm 0.20 bc$	4.80 ± 0.51 bc
T3 (C. mucunoides)	1.57±0.06b	$3.08\pm0.23b$	3.82 ± 0.13 cd	4.13±0.17bc	$4.13\pm0.17b$	$4.63\pm0.13b$
T4 (M. pruriens)	$1.53\pm0.16b$	$2.97\pm0.26b$	3.63 ± 0.07 cd	4.00 ± 0.17 bc	4.47 ± 0.23 bc	4.78 ± 0.17 bc
T5 (L. purpureus)	$1.58\pm0.23b$	$3.23\pm0.08b$	4.56±0.19e	$4.86 \pm 0.03 d$	$5.05\pm0.18d$	5.60±0.19c
T6 (S. capitata)	$1.40 \pm .0.20 b$	$2.93\pm0.50b$	3.50 ± 0.15 bc	$3.97\pm0.09b$	$4.03\pm0.03b$	$4.56\pm0.21b$
T7 (NPK 15:15:15)	1.10±0.09b	$2.67\pm0.25b$	$3.02\pm0.10ab$	$3.88 \pm 0.05b$	$4.14\pm0.13b$	$4.14\pm0.79ab$
T8 (Control)	0.48±0.06a	1.73±0.13a	2.53±0.29a	3.22±0.11a	2.98±0.25a	3.48±0.20a

abcde Means \pm SE with similar superscripts in the same column are not significantly different (P > 0.05)

Effect of Fertilizers on Dry Matter Productionof Amaranthus (g/plant)

The dry matter production of *Amaranthus* treated with *L. Purpureus* compounded organic fertilizer treated plants yielded the highest dry matter (18.91 \pm 0.19), followed by *C. pubescens* organic fertilizer treatment (17.86 cd \pm 0.76)(Table 7). The least dry matter yield was by the Control (9.84a \pm 0.61) which was significantly less than the dry matter yield of the NPK treatment

(13.61b±1.08). The higher dry matter yield in the organic fertilizer treatments could be due to higher nutrient supply to the plant by the compounded organic fertilizers. The findings support FAO (1974) and Ibrahim and Fadni (2013) that organic matter sustains the fertility of soil for good production of vegetables. It also supported Adamu *et al.* (2014) that the nutrient composition of legume composts is rich.

Table 7. Effect of Fertilizers on Dry Matter Production of Amaranthus (g/plant)

Treatments	Dry Weight			
T1 (C. pubescens)	17.86 cd±0.76			
T2 (M. bracteata)	16.93 cd±0.19			
T3 (C. mucunoides)	17.61 cd±0.69			
T4 (M. pruriens)	17.59 cd±0.59			
T5 (L. purpureus)	18.21±d0.19			
T6 (S. capitata)	$16.95c \pm 2.29$			
T7 (NPK 15:15:15)	$14.61b\pm1.08$			
T8 (Control)	12.84a±0.61			

 $^{^{}abcd}$ Means \pm SE with similar superscripts in the same column are not significantly different (P > 0.05)

Proximate Composition of *Amaranthus* Grown with the Fertilizers (mg/100g dry matter)

There is significant treatment effect in nutrient composition of *Amaranthus* (Table 8). The moisture content of NPK treatment was highest (6.44±1.21), while *S. capitata* organic manure treatment recorded the least moisture content (5.10±0.64). *Amaranthus* grown with *S. capitata* organic fertilizer could be more preferable for commercial production purposes since higher moisture content in food material is said to be related to higher chances of microbial attack (Ihemeje *et*

al., 2013). The ash content of L. purpureus organic fertilizer treatment (14.70±0.58) is highest of all the treatments, while the least value was recorded in the Control (12.30±1.33). It indicated potential for higher nutrient supply by the L. purpureus organic fertilizer since higher ash content is reflective of greater mineral content (Ihemeje $et\ al$, 2013). The protein content of the Amaranthus plants were generally high, supporting reports that protein content in the plant is higher than that of most vegetables (PROTA, 2004, Idris, 2011). The least protein content was recorded in the control

 (13.13 ± 0.2) while the highest was recorded in the L. Purpureus organic fertilizer treatment (18.81±0.61). The carbohydrate content of Amaranthus in the Control (39.45±0.22) was the least of all the treatments, followed by the NPK treatment (46.30 \pm 1.17). The S. capitata organic fertilizer treatment recorded the highest carbohydrate content value of 53.67±1.35, followed by C. mucunoides organic fertilizer treatment (52.99 \pm 1.65). However, the carbohydrate content of the Amaranthus plants in all the treatments was higher compared to those reported for Telfairia occidentalis (Ihemejeet al., 2011; Idris, 2011). Carbohydrates provide the body with a source of energy required to carry out daily activities and exercise. The high carbohydrate value indicated a high energy value which may be why Amaranthus plant is being considered as a raw material source for industrial biofuel production as well as environmental protection in this century (Viglasky et al., 2009).M. bracteata organic fertilizer treated Amaranthus plant has the highest energy value (338.14±1.82), followed by L. purpureus organic fertilizer treatment(333.34±2.12). The Control treatment had the least value (311.60 ± 1.83) which is significantly less than the NPK fertilizer treatment (321.10±0.47). Generally, the findings show a high nutritive value of *Amaranthus* plant supporting the claim that it is one of the most important annual leaf vegetables in the tropics (Makinde et al., 2010). It justifies the increasing awareness on the importance of the plant as a valuable source of food, medicine and income for small-scale farmers (Maundu et al., 1999). However, the nutritional value of the compounded organic fertilizer treated Amaranthus were higher than that of the Control and the NPK fertilizer treatments thereby supporting Makindeet al. (2010) that the crop responds to organic manure.

Table 8. Proximate Composition of Amaranthus Grown with the Fertilizers (mg/100g dry matter)

Parameter and Concentration

	Parameter and Concentration								
	MC	DM	Ash	CF	CP	EE	СНО	Energy.v	
Treatments	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	KJ/Kg	
C.	5.60±1.42	94.62±1.00	14.25±2.41	12.80±0.74	14.44±0,43	8.50±0.77	46.71±0,21	331.60±1.4	
pubescens	ab	c	c	a			bc	1c	
M. bracteata	6.20 ± 0.76	94.40 ± 0.71	13.90 ± 0.42	16.10 ± 0.65	12.69 ± 0.55	8.90 ± 0.24	51.71±1.11	338.14±1.8	
	b	c	bc	d	a	c	c	2c	
<i>C</i> .	5.34 ± 0.88	92.62 ± 1.00	13.76±0.96	14.60±1.31	12.25 ± 1.43	$7.20\pm0,18$	52.99±1.65	325.76 ± 2.4	
mucunoides	ab	b	a	bc	a	ab	c	4b	
M. pruriens	6.35 ± 2.32	93.80 ± 0.34	14.15 ± 0.62	$16.04\pm2,11$	12.81±0.61	9.10 ± 0.33	50.75±0.81	332.14±1.5	
	b	bc	c	d	a	cd	c	7c	
L. purpureus	5.40 ± 0.88	94.66±1.22	14.70 ± 0.58	$14.14\pm1,02$	16.81±0.61	8.10 ± 0.73	50.82±1.69	333.34 ± 2.1	
	ab	c	c	c	c	c	c	2c	
S. capitata	5.10 ± 0.64	93.56±0.69	13.45 ± 0.72	13.88 ± 0.79	14.00 ± 1.14	6.00 ± 0.54	53.67±1.35	324.68 ± 2.3	
	a	bc	a	bc	b	a	c	5b	
NPK	6.44 ± 1.21	92.55 ± 2.03	13.65±0.93	13.90±1.46	14.75 ± 0.43	9.20 ± 0.88	46.30±1.17	321.10±0.4	
15:15:15	b	b	bc	bc	b	cd	bc	7	
Control	5.34 ± 0.22	91.90±3.21	12.30±1.33	15.70 ± 0.52	12.13 ± 0.27	6.20 ± 1.65	39.45 ± 0.22	311.60±1.8	
	a	a	a	cd	a	a	a	3a	

abcd Means \pm SE with similar superscripts in the same column are not signifi \pm cantly different (P > 0.05). MC (Moisture content), DM (Dry Matter), CF (Crude Fibre), CP (Crude Protein), Ether estract (fats), CHO (Carbohydrate),

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Leguminous species are increasingly appreciated for their roles in nutrition and in soil fertility amendment. Nigeria is endowed with numerous underutilized leguminous species, many of which are growing in the wild and as weeds. The vegetal matters of the legumes are rich in minerals and plant nutrient in varying levels. The use of these relatively abundant organic materials for organic fertilizer production has enormous potential usefulness in management, soil biodiversity conservation and agro-ecosystem stabilization in Nigeria. The compounded organic fertilizers which were manufactured using legumes matter, rice husk, poultry

manure and swine dung enhanced the growth, nutritive value, and biomass production of *Amaranthus.Lablab purpureus*, *Mucuna bracteata* and *Mucuna pruriens* based compounded organic fertilizers have higher adaptability based on the growth attributes of the test plant assessed and are highly recommended for crop and soil productivity enhancement initiatives in southeastern Nigeria.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND) and Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike (MOUAU) for providing funding

for the project on Producing Organic Fertilizers Using Locally Available Legumes for Forest Nursery Nutritional Management.

REFERENCES

- Adamu, U.K., Almu, H., Adam, I. A., and sani, S. A. (2014). Evaluation of nutrient composition of some cereals and legume crops residues as composts materials. *Bayero journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 7 (2): 52-54.
- Akanbi, W. B., A. O. Togun, J. A. Adediran and Ilupeju E. A. O. (2010). Growth, dry matter and fruit yield component of Okra under organic and inorganic sources of nutrients. *American Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 4 (1): 1-13.
- Basso, B. and Ritchie, J.T., (2005).Impact of Compost, Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on Nitrate Leaching and Yield for a 6-year Maize-alfalfa Rotation in Michigan. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 108: 329-341.
- Chadburn, H. (2012). Mucunabracteata. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2012. e.T19891625A20113493. http://www.jucnredlist.org.details/19891625
- Chukwuka, K. S., Ogunsumi, I.A., Obiakara, M.C., Ojo, O.M. and Uka, U.N. (2014). Effects of decaying leaf litter and inorganic fertilizer on growth and development of maize (*Zeamays L*). Journal of agricultural Sciences, 59 (2) 117-127
- Ihemeje, A., Ojinnaka, M.C., Obi, K.C., Ekwe, C.C. (2013). Biochemical evaluation of Pepper fruit (*Dennettiatripetala*) and its use as substitute for Ginger in Zobo drink production. Academic Research International, 4 (6): 513-521.
- Kagali, R.N., Kioko, E.N..Osiemo, Z., Muya, S. and Wachera, C. (2013). Insect abundance and diversity on cultivated *Amaranthus Spp.* (Amaranthacea) in Merucounty, Kenya. 3: 110-116.
- Karachi, M. (1997). Growth and nutritive value of Lablab purrpureus in semi-arid Kenya. *Tropical Grasslands*, 31:214-218.
- Louisa, M.A. and Taguiling, G. (2013). Quality improvement of organic compost using green biomass. *European Scientific Journal* 9 (36): 1857 7881
- Makinde, E.A., Ayeni, L.S., Ojeniyi, S.O. and Odedina, J.N. (2010). Effect of Organic, Organomineral and NPK fertilizer opn Nutritional Quality of Amaranthus in Lagos, Nigeria. *Researcher*, 2 (12). www.sciencepub.net/researcher
- Mapfumo, P., Campbell1, B.M., Mpepereki, S. and Mafongoya, P. (2001). Legumes in Soil Fertility Management: The Case of

- PigeonpeaIn Smallholder Farming Systems of Zimbabwe. *African Crop Science Journal*, 9(4):629-644.
- Maundu, P.M., Ngugi, G.W., Kabuye, C.H.S. (1999).

 Traditional food plants of Kenya. Kenya
 Resources Centre for Indigenous Knowledge,
 National Museums of Kenya, 270pp.

 http://puka:cs.waikato.ac.nz/gsdlmod
- Mshelia, J. S. and Degri, M. M. (2014). Effect of different levels of poultry manure on the performance of amaranthus (Amaranthuscaudatusl.) in bama, Nigeria. International Journal of Science and Nature (I.J.S.N.), 5 (1): 121-125 ISSN 2278 9103 121
- Ngome, A. F. E., Becker, M., and Mtei, K. M. (2011). Leguminous cover crops differentially affect maize yields in three contrasting soil types of Kakamega, western Kenya. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics*, 112 (1):1-10.
- Nwosu, P.O., Chukwu, G.O. and Ikeorgu, J.G. (2011). Management of leguminous fallows to improve haplicacrisols of southeastern Nigeria for sustainable cocoyam production. *The Nigerian Journal of Agriculture*, 42:174-181.
- Okokoh, S. J. and Bisong, B. W. (2011) Effect of Poultry Manure and Urea- N on Flowering Occurrence and Leaf Productivity of *Amranthuscruentus* in Calabar. *Journ.ofApl. Sic. Environmental Management.* **15**. (1) 13-15.
- Omenihu, A.A., Nwaogu, E.N. and Ogumba, P.O. (2011). Effect of rice mill waste enriched with NPK fertilizer on the utilization of nitrogen in an ultisol in Umudike, Southeastern Nigeria. *ABSU*
- Omotayo, O. E. and K. S. Chukwuka (2009).Soil fertility restoration techniques in sub-Saharan Africa using organic resources. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 4 (3):144-150, Available online at http://www.academicjournals.org/AJAR
- Osodeke, V. E. (2017). Greedy Tropical soils: appeasing the hungry soils for sustainable food production. 26th Inaugural Lecture of Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria.P. 48.
- PROTA (2004). Plant resources of Tropical Africa:Vegetables. Grubben, G.J.H., Denton, A.O. (Editors). PROTA Foundation, Netherlands?Backhuys. Netherlands/CTA Wageningen, Netherlands. 667pp.
- Quattara, K., Quattara, B., Nyberg, G., Sedogo, M.P., Malmer, A., (2008). Effects of ploughing frequency and compost on soil aggreagate stability in a cotton-maize

- (Gossypiumhirsutum-Zeamays) rotation in Burkina Faso. *Soil Use Manag*, 24: 19-28.
- Rao, M.R. and Mathuva, M.N. (2000).Legumes for improving maize yields and income in semi-arid Kenya. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 78, 123-137.
- Viglasky, J., Andrejcak, I., Huska, J., Suchomel, J. (2009). Amaranth (Amarantus L.) is a potential source of raw material for biofuels production. *Agronomy Research* **7**(2), 865-873 2009.